THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

BEFORE THE NEW HAMPSHIRE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL L. SHELNITZ

MID-TERM ADJUSTMENT EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2013

2013 STRANDED COST RECOVERY CHARGE RATE CHANGE

Docket No. DE 12-291

Q. Please state your name, business address and position. 1 2 My name is Michael L. Shelnitz. My business address is 107 Selden Street, Berlin, Α. Connecticut. I am Team Lead, Revenue Requirements – PSNH for Northeast Utilities 3 4 Service Company (NUSCO) which provides centralized services to the Northeast Utilities (NU) operating subsidiaries Public Service Company of New Hampshire 5 6 (PSNH), The Connecticut Light and Power Company, Yankee Gas Services Company 7 and Western Massachusetts Electric Company. 8 9 Q. Have you previously testified before the Commission? 10 A. No, I have not. The ES and SCRC mid-year rate adjustment filings are the first time I am sponsoring testimony before the Commission. 11 12 Please describe your educational background. 13 Q. 14 Α. I graduated from Northeastern University in Boston, Massachusetts in 1986 with a Bachelor of Science degree in Toxicology. In 1990, I earned a Master's Degree in 15 16 Business Administration with a Specialization in Accounting from the University of

17

Connecticut.

1 Q. Please describe your professional experience. 2 A. Upon graduation, I worked in public accounting for 5 years with Price Waterhouse, LLC, after which I joined Northeast Utilities as an analyst in the Fuel Accounting and Recovery 3 Department. Since joining Northeast Utilities I have held positions of increasing 4 responsibility through my current position as Team Lead, Revenue Requirements -5 6 PSNH. As part of my experience, I have sponsored technical statements before the 7 Commission and I have testified in numerous proceedings before the Connecticut Public Utilities Regulatory Authority. I am registered as a Certified Public Accountant (CPA) in 8 9 the State of Connecticut. 10 Q. What are your current responsibilities? 11 12 A. I am currently responsible for the calculation of revenue requirements for PSNH, as well 13 as the filings associated with PSNH's Energy Service charge, the Stranded Cost 14 Recovery Charge and the Transmission Cost Adjustment Mechanism. Additionally, I am responsible for certain accounting activities performed by Northeast Utilities Service 15 16 Company, including ISO-NE billing and standard offer billing for some of Northeast 17 Utilities' operating companies. 18 19 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony? 20 A. The purpose of my testimony is to provide a detailed overview of PSNH's request for a mid-term adjustment to decrease the average Stranded Cost Recovery Charge (SCRC) 2122rate effective on July 1, 2013. 23

24

25

Q. What is PSNH requesting in this proceeding?

In this proceeding, PSNH is requesting that the Commission approve a mid-term adjustment to the average SCRC rate for all customers effective July 1, 2013. This adjustment, as calculated today and consistent with the concurrent ES rate filing, would result in a decrease of 0.352¢/kWh to the current average SCRC rate of 0.737¢/kWh resulting in an average rate of 0.385¢/kWh for effect from July 1 through December 31, 2013. This revised average SCRC rate was based on actual results through March 2013 and an updated forecast for the remainder of 2013. PSNH is proposing the SCRC rate change to take effect July 1, 2013 consistent with its concurrent proposal to change the ES rate. In addition, in early June 2013, PSNH will file its proposal to change the Transmission Cost Adjustment Mechanism (TCAM) effective July 1, 2013 and will also file updated SCRC and ES rates.

Α.

Q. Please describe the components of the SCRC and their impact on this rate request.

A. The SCRC recovers certain costs under the authorities contained in RSA Chapters 374-F and 369-B. The PSNH Restructuring Settlement, approved in Order No. 23,549, defined PSNH's stranded costs and categorized them into three different parts (i.e., Parts 1, 2 and 3). Part 1 is composed of the RRB Charge which is calculated to recover the principal, net interest, and fees related to Rate Reduction Bonds. Part 2 costs are "ongoing" stranded costs consisting primarily of the over-market value of energy purchased from independent power producers (IPPs) and the amortization of payments previously made for IPP buy-downs and buy-outs as approved by the Commission. In addition, the SCRC has a return component, consisting primarily of the stipulated return on deferred taxes related to securitized stranded costs. The return is a benefit to

1		customers which reduces the overall SCRC level. Part 3 costs, which were primarily the
2		amortization of non-securitized stranded costs, were recovered fully in June 2006.
3		Pursuant to Order No. 25,447 issued in Docket No. DE 12-291, PSNH is currently billing
4		an average SCRC rate of 0.737¢/kWh which was made effective for the period January
5		1, 2013 through December 31, 2013.
6		
7	Q.	Please describe the detailed support for the calculation of the average SCRC rate
8		provided in Attachment MLS-1.
9	A.	Attachment MLS-1, pages 1-3, provides a summary of 2013 cost information related to
10		Part 1 and Part 2 costs, amortization of securitized assets and ongoing cost activity.
11		Pages 4 through 7 provide the detailed cost information by month related to Part 1 and
12		Part 2 costs.
13		
14	Q.	How are PSNH's mandated purchased power obligations from IPPs valued in the
15		SCRC?
16	A.	The over-market portion of purchases from the IPPs is considered to be a stranded cost
17		and is recovered through the SCRC. The market value of the IPP purchases is reflected
18		in the Energy Service rate, which PSNH is proposing to decrease on July 1, 2013 in a
19		concurrent filing made under separate cover. This treatment is consistent with the
20		Restructuring Settlement and the Commission's Order in Docket No. DE 02-166.
21		
22	Q.	Describe what has transpired during the SCRC period beginning January 1, 2013
23		that resulted in your request to decrease the average rate level.
24	A.	The current average SCRC rate of 0.737¢/kWh became effective January 1, 2013 for the
25		twelve-month period January through December 2013. The primary reason for the

recommended decrease in the rate to 0.385¢/kWh is that market prices of energy are higher than initially forecasted which, in turn, decreased the over-market portion of purchases from the IPPs included in the SCRC. Additionally, in regard to rate reduction bonds, the current SCRC rate was higher than necessary in order to satisfy the requirements of RSA 369-B:4 to provide for the full recovery of principal, interest and credit enhancement on the rate reduction bonds, in accordance with the amortization schedule for such bonds determined at the time of offering. Since the bonds are now retired, there is no need to continue to include the additional amount in the average rate level.

When will PSNH provide an update to the proposed average SCRC rate?

The filing will be updated in about 5 weeks to reflect actual results for April and May 2013 and for any other significant changes.

Q.

Α.

A.

A.

Q. Does the Commission need to make a determination at this time of the prudence of the costs incurred since January 1, 2013?

No. Those issues will be addressed in the Energy Service/Stranded Cost Recovery

Charge reconciliation docket which has traditionally been filed in early May following the
calendar year when those costs were incurred and collected.

Q. Does PSNH require Commission approval of this rate by a specific date?

Yes. Due to the number of rate components that will change, PSNH requests approval of the proposed SCRC rate by Thursday, June 27 to allow sufficient time to implement, test and bill the new rates on our regular schedule and to avoid any delay in billing for service rendered as of July 1, 2013 (a Monday). Therefore, PSNH requests that the

Testimony of Michael L. Shelnitz Docket No. 12-291 May 2, 2013 Page 6 of 6

- 1 Commission reopen this proceeding so that the procedural schedule can be set to
- 2 review this filing and approve the SCRC rate in a timely manner.

3

- 4 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 5 A. Yes, it does.